REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggessitions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any oenalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
04-02-2016	Final Report		10-Jun-2014 - 9-Mar-2015	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Final Report: STIR: Analysis of a Nov	rel Microbial Ion Channel	W91	11NF-14-1-0265	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 611102		
6. AUTHORS		5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
Harold H. Zakon		0 4. 11		
		5e. TASK NUMBER		
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM University of Texas at Austin 101 East 27th Street Suite 5.300 Austin, TX 787	ES AND ADDRESSES		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY (ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO	
U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
			65337-LS-II.1	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILIBILITY STAT	EMENT			
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Un	limited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained of the Army position, policy or decision, unle			and should not contrued as an official Department	
Since some of the organisms that have investigate whether these sequences co	these sequences are responded for viable ion channel	nsible f s. If so,	tes that resembled incomplete ion channels. For carrying diseases, we wished to then we hoped that they would be good and studied the channel's expression by	

15. SUBJECT TERMS

ion channel, microorganism, voltage clamp

16. SECURI	TY CLASSIFICA				19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	Harold Zakon
UU	UU	υυ	UU		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 512-471-0194

injecting it in frog eggs. We noted small currents that had unusual electrical properties but eventually concluded that these surrents are artifacts produced by their stimulating an ion surrent found in frog aggs. We now planted to

Report Title

Final Report: STIR: Analysis of a Novel Microbial Ion Channel

ABSTRACT

We discovered some sequences in the genomes of single celled eukaryotes that resembled incomplete ion channels. Since some of the organisms that have these sequences are responsible for carrying diseases, we wished to investigate whether these sequences coded for viable ion channels. If so, then we hoped that they would be good targets for drug development. We cloned this ion channel from a fungus and studied the channel's expression by injecting it in frog eggs. We noted small currents that had unusual electrical properties but eventually concluded that these currents are artifacts produced by their stimulating an ion current found in frog eggs. We next planned to test whether these incomplete channels function, instead, as dominant negatives, assembling with and inhibiting complete channels. However, we did not have enough time to complete this aim in the allotted funding period.

Enter List of papers submitted or published that acknowledge ARO support from the start of the project to the date of this printing. List the papers, including journal references, in the following categories:

(a) Papers published in peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

Received Paper

TOTAL:

Number of Papers published in peer-reviewed journals:

(b) Papers published in non-peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

Received Paper

TOTAL:

Number of Papers published in non peer-reviewed journals:

(c) Presentations

Number of Presentations: 0.00				
	Non Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):			
Received	<u>Paper</u>			
TOTAL:				
Number of Non	Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):			
	Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):			
Received	<u>Paper</u>			
TOTAL:				
Number of Peer	-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):			
	(d) Manuscripts			
Received	<u>Paper</u>			
TOTAL:				

Number of Ma	anuscripts:		
		Books	
Received	<u>Book</u>		
TOTAL:			
Received	Book Chapter		
TOTAL:			
TOTAL.			
		Patents Submitted	
		Patents Awarded	
		Awards	
		Graduate Students	
NAME		PERCENT_SUPPORTED	
	quivalent: Number:		
		Names of Post Doctorates	
	Ghezzi quivalent: lumber:	PERCENT_SUPPORTED 1.00 1.00	

Names of Faculty Supported NAME PERCENT SUPPORTED **FTE Equivalent: Total Number:** Names of Under Graduate students supported NAME PERCENT SUPPORTED **FTE Equivalent: Total Number: Student Metrics** This section only applies to graduating undergraduates supported by this agreement in this reporting period The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period: 0.00 The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period with a degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 0.00 The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will continue to pursue a graduate or Ph.D. degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 0.00 Number of graduating undergraduates who achieved a 3.5 GPA to 4.0 (4.0 max scale):..... 0.00 Number of graduating undergraduates funded by a DoD funded Center of Excellence grant for Education, Research and Engineering:..... 0.00 The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and intend to work for the Department of Defense 0.00 The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will receive scholarships or fellowships for further studies in science, mathematics, engineering or technology fields: 0.00 Names of Personnel receiving masters degrees NAME **Total Number:** Names of personnel receiving PHDs **NAME Total Number:** Names of other research staff PERCENT SUPPORTED NAME **FTE Equivalent:**

Total Number:

Inventions (DD882)

Scientific Progress

INTRODUCTION

We discovered some molecular sequences in the genomes of single celled eukaryotes that resembled incomplete ion channels. Most voltage-gated ion channels have six membrane-spanning helical segments. Two of these segments (S5-S6) form a channel through which ions flow. Another (S4) has numerous evenly spaced positively charged amino acids; the S4 detects membrane voltage and its movement triggers the channel to open. The first three helices (S1-S3) serve as a supporting structure to hold the S4 and prevent it from moving until a voltage stimulus forces it to move. There is a type of ion channel (H+ sensing) that is only S1-S4. This channel passes ions in a novel way. We wondered if the segments of the channel that we discovered (S1-S3) could form a complete ion-passing channel.

Since some of the organisms that have these sequences are responsible for carrying diseases, we wished to investigate whether these sequences coded for viable ion channels. If so, then we hoped that they would be good targets for drug development.

RESULTS

We cloned this ion channel (BdS1-S3) from the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) and studied the channel's expression by injecting it in frog oocytes (eggs). Our controls were oocytes injected with water. We occasionally noted small currents that had unusual electrical behaviors. These currents were not always observed and they did not become more obvious when we incubated eggs for 1,2 or 3 days. These currents activated rapidly to hyperpolarizing stimuli. Repeated stimulation caused greater magnitude currents. We were unable to detect a clear reversal potential for these currents. There was no change in the behavior of the currents when we altered extracellular potassium, sodium or calcium. However, although not as frequently, we saw the same currents with eggs injected with water. Thus, we began to believe that the currents were endogenous to the oocyte rather than reflecting currents from the Bd channel construct. These currents appeared similar to currents described in the literature as an endogenous current found in oocytes that are triggered by the injection of various exogenous substances. Thus, we believe we were not observing currents from the Bd channel.

We then eliminated the S1, S2 or S3 segments from a fruit fly potassium channel (shaker) construct, and switched in the ones from the Bd channel to test whether these segments from Bd could still function. However, this took time and the funding period (9 months) was over before we were able to continue these experiments. We had also planned to inject the Bd channels along with normal shaker channels to test whether the S1-S3 Bd channel acted as a negative dominant rather than a complete channel on its own. If this is so then the more BdS1-S3 that we inject along with a constant amount of shaker RNA, the less shaker current there would be.

Technology Transfer